CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM City of Missoula CIP Project Request Form FY 2012-2016

| Program Category: | Project Title: | | 10 Project # | 11 Project # | 12 Project # |
|-------------------|--|----------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Community Service | URD III Curb/sidewalks Commercial Corridor Phase II | | | CS-16 | CS-16 |
| | , | <u> </u> | | | |

Description and justification of project and funding sources:

Lack of curb and sidewalk has been identified in the URD III Study and URD III Plan as a condition contributing to blight in the Urban Renewal District. Phase I sought easements and constructed a portion of missing curb and sidewalk segments along the Brooks Street corridor and generally one block either side of Brooks Street. This CIP item will continue with Phase 2 of the Project: obtaining easements and constructing remaining missing sidewalk segments. Construction of sidewalk and curb in this commercial corridor will facilitate the implementation of the URD III plan, reduce blight in the district, and act as a catalyst for further private investment through out the District.

| Is this equipment prioritized on an equipment replacement schedule? | Yes | No | NA |
|---|-----|----|----|
| | | | x |

Are there any site requirements:

| | How is this project going to be funded: | | | | | | | Funded in Prior |
|--------|---|-----------------|---------|------|------|------|------|-----------------|
| ш | Funding Source | Accounting Code | FY12 | FY13 | FY14 | FY15 | FY16 | Years |
| REVENU | Tax Increment | | 500,000 | | | | | |
| | | | 500,000 | - | - | - | - | - |

| | How is this project going to be spent: | | | | | | | |
|-----|--|-----------------|---------|------|------|------|------|-------------------------|
| | Budgeted Funds | Accounting Code | FY12 | FY13 | FY14 | FY15 | FY16 | Spent in Prior Years |
| ш | A. Land Cost | | | | | | | |
| NSE | B. Construction Cost | | 400,000 | | | | | |
| PE | C. Contingencies (10% of B) | | 40,000 | | | | | |
| - 2 | D. Design & Engineering (15% of B) | | 60,000 | | | | | |
| | E. Percent for Art (1% of B) | | | | | | | |
| | F. Equipment Costs | | | | | | | |
| | G. Other | | | | | | | |
| | | | 500,000 | - | - | - | - | - |

| | Does this project have any additional impact on the operating budget: | | | | | | | Spent in Prior |
|------|---|-----------------|------|------|------|------|------|----------------|
| Z. | Expense Object | Accounting Code | FY12 | FY13 | FY14 | FY15 | FY16 | Years |
| So | Personnel | | | | | | | |
| Ö | Supplies | | | | | | | |
| DGET | Purchased Services | | | | | | | |
| 9 | Fixed Charges | | | | | | | |
| B | Capital Outlay | | | | | | | |
| ō | Debt Service | | | | | | | |
| É | | | - | • | - | • | - | - |
| ΑT | | | | - | | - | | |

Description of additional operating budget impact:

| Responsible Person: | Responsible Department: | Date Submitted to Finance | Today's Date and Time | Preparer's Initials | Total Score |
|---------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-------------|
| Ellen Buchanan | MRA | | 4/6/2011 10:58 | kln | 42 |

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM **Project Rating** (See C.I.P. Instructions For Explanation of Criteria) **Program Category: Project Title:** 10 Project # **URD III Curb/sidewalks Commercial Community Service** CS-16 Corridor Phase II **Qualitative Analysis** Yes No Comments 1. Is the project necessary to meet federal, state, or local legal requirements? This criterion includes projects mandated by Court Order to meet requirements of law or other requirements. Of special concern is that the project be accessible to the handicapped. 2. Is the project necessary to fulfill a contractual requirement? This criterion includes Federal or State grants which require local participation. Indicate the Grant name and number in the comment column. 3. Is this project urgently required? Will delay result in curtailment of an essential service? This statement should be checked "Yes" only if an emergency is clearly indicated; otherwise, answer "No". If "Yes", be sure to give full justification. 4. Does the project provide for and/or improve public health and/or public safety? This criterion should be answered "No" unless public health and/or safety can be x shown to be an urgent or critical factor. Raw Quantitative Analysis Score Total Range Comments Weight Score (0-3)5. Does the project result in maximum Because curbs and sidewalks are such a visible form of reinvestment in the District, the investment benefit to the community from the will tend to serve as a catalyst for further private investment in URD III. This project is 100% 5 15 leveraged using tax increment funds. investment dollar? (0-3)6. Does the project require speedy Timing is a factor in order to complete construction of the infrastructure prior to the sun-set date of implementation in order to assure its 2 4 8 the District and to allow time for private investment to occur within the urban renewal district. maximum effectiveness? (0-3)7. Does the project conserve energy, Curb/sidewalk projects can contribute to the conservation of energy by providing for alternative cultural or natural resources, or reduce 3 3 means of transportation. pollution? (0-2)8. Does the project improve or expand upon essential City services where such 2 4 8 The project will connect essential missing segments in the city 's sidewalk network. services are recognized and accepted as being necessary and effective? (0-3) 9. Does the project specifically relate to the The curb/sidewalk project provides for alternative transportation, completes essential missing infrastructure in the urban renewal district, facilitates implementation of the URD III Plan, and focuse 2 City's strategic planning priorities or other 4 8 on efforts to revitalize the District through reinvestment.

Total Score

