CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM City of Missoula CIP Project Request Form FY 2017-2021

Program Category:	Project Title:	15 Project #	16 Project #	17 Project #
Parks, Recreation and Open Space	Pleasant View Basketball Court			PR-13

Description and justification of project and funding sources:

Pleasantview homeowners association is seeking to improve the park by adding a multi-purpose basketball/tennis/pickleball court. They are seeking to ensure children and adults living in the area have a range of positive outdoor activities to take advantage of. The HOA is interested in additional fund raising to help leverage existing funds available for improvements to the park. Project funding provides for professional design and engineering (FY17) for following fiscal year construction of court, purchase and installation of equipment and plexipave court markings, and site restoration. IF HOA is successful in raising full \$40K by FY18, the funds would be used to award bid alternates to add plexipave court markings, install court containment curb with 6' chain-link fencing in order to provide for multi-use of the court for pickleball and tennis.

Is this equipment prioritized on an equipment replacement schedule?	Yes	No	NA
		Х	
Is there ongoing Operating and/or Maintenance costs upon completon of project?	Yes	No	NA
	х		

Are there any site requirements:

N/A

	How is this project going to be funded:							
								Funded in Prior
ш	Funding Source	Accounting Code	FY17	FY18	FY19	FY20	FY21	Years
≥	Park District			60,000				
Ę			10,440					
H	HOA partnership funding		15,000					
	HOA fundraising			40,000				
	, and the second							
		I	25,440	100,000	-	-	-	-

	How is this project going to be spent:						Spent in Prior	
	Budgeted Funds	Accounting Code	FY17	FY18	FY19	FY20	FY21	Years
Αبي	A. Land Cost B. Construction Cost							
2 B	3. Construction Cost			106,124				
뷥	C. Contingencies (10% of B) D. Design & Engineering (15% of B)							
ŭ D	D. Design & Engineering (15% of B)		18,816					
E	E. Percent for Art (1% of B)							
F	. Equipment Costs			500				
G	6. Other							
			18,816	106,624	-	-	-	-

	Does this project have any ongoing Operating and/or Maintenance cost to be included in the operating budget:							
	(account for operational savings and/or reduction in current budget of previous operating/maintenance charges)							Spent in Prior
TS	Expense Object	Accounting Code	FY17	FY18	FY19	FY20	FY21	Years
COST	Personnel			208	208	208	208	208
2	Supplies			150	150	150	150	150
	Purchased Services			600	600	600	600	600
	Fixed Charges							
m	Capital Outlay							
S	Debt Service							
F	(Operational Savings)							
3			-	958	958	958	958	958

Description of additional operating budget impact: Requires additional maintenance staff time (at the Park attendant rate) f0r site inspection, remove trash; monthly sweeping during season; repair/replace nets, backboards, and posts; repair/refresh asphalt, court markings and pro-rated cyclical maintenance (seal coat and repaint every 7 years).

Responsible Person:	Responsible Department:	Date Submitted to Finance	Today's Date and Time	Preparer's Initials	Total Score
David Selvage	Parks	3/29/2016	5/16/2016 11:28	DS	25

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM Project Rating (See C.I.P. Instructions For Explanation of Criteria) Program Category: Project Title: 17 Project # Parks. Recreation Pleasant View Basketball Court PR-13 and Open Space **Qualitative Analysis** Yes No Comments 1. Is the project necessary to meet federal, state, or local legal requirements? This criterion includes projects mandated by Court Order to meet requirements of law or other Χ requirements. Of special concern is that the project be accessible to the handicapped. 2. Is the project necessary to fulfill a contractual requirement? This criterion includes Federal or State grants which require local Х participation. Indicate the Grant name and number in the comment column. 3. Is this project urgently required? Will delay result in curtailment of an essential service? This statement should be checked "Yes" only if an emergency is clearly indi-Х cated: otherwise, answer "No". If "Yes". be sure to give full justification. 4. Does the project provide for and/or improve public health and/or public safety? This criterion should be answered "No" unless public health and/or safety can be Х shown to be an urgent or critical factor. Raw **Quantitative Analysis** Score Total Range Weight Score Comments 5. Does the project result in maximum Leverages available private funds benefit to the community from the 5 10 2 investment dollar? 6. Does the project require speedy implementation in order to assure its 4 4 maximum effectiveness? 7. Does the project conserve energy, Resident of the neighborhood will not have to travel by car to another park for the desired service(s) 3 cultural or natural resources, or reduce 3 pollution? 8. Does the project improve or expand upon essential City services where such Park improvements increase property values, stabilize neighborhoods, sustain a sense of 4 4 community, enhance resident's health and overall well-being., services are recognized and accepted as being necessary and effective?

Yes - supports multiple strategic goals for the community.

4

Total Score

4

9. Does the project specifically relate to the

City's strategic planning priorities or other

plans?

17 Project #	Project Title:
PR-13	Pleasant View Basketball Court

Date	Author	Notes
Date	Author	Notes
Date	Author	Notes

Date	Author	Notes
Date	Author	Notes
D (In a	To a
Date	Author	Notes
	1	
	1	
1		
	1	
1	1	
1	1	
	1	
	1	
	1	
Data	Author	Netes
Date	Author	Notes

Date	Author	Notes
Date	Author	Notes
Date	Author	Notes
Date	Author	Notes

Date	Author	Notes
Date	Author	Notes
Date	Author	Notes
Date	Author	Notes

Date	Author	Notes
Date	Author	Notes
Date	Author	Notes
Date	Author	Notes

Date	Author	Notes
Date	Author	Notes
Date	Author	Notes
Date	Author	Notes

Date	Author	Notes
Date	Author	Notes
Date	Author	Notes
Date	Author	Notes

Date	Author	Notes
Date	Author	Notes
Date	Author	Notes
Date	Author	Notes

Date	Author	Notes
Date	Author	Notes
Date	Author	Notes
Date	Author	Notes

Date	Author	Notes
Date	Author	Notes
Date	Author	Notes
Date	Author	Notes

Date	Author	Notes
		<u> </u>
Date	Author	Notes
L		1

PLEASANT VIEW PARK, MISSOULA, MT COST ESTIMATE SUMMARY

PRELIMINARY - Not for Construction

17-Nov-10

Prepared by Kent Watson & Associates, Landscape Architecture in partnership with Parks & Recreation

Grading & Drainage	\$176,000
Irrigation	\$65,000
Trails	\$42,000
Trees & Turf	\$117,000
Owner Items	\$40,000
Construction Contingency 10%	\$40,000
Design, Engineering & Construction Admin.	\$100,000

PHASE II CONSTRUCTION	
Entry Feature	\$25,000
Restroom	\$25,000
Multi-use Sport Courts	\$126,000
Gazebo	\$50,000
Playground Equipment	\$140,000
Trees & Plantings	\$35,000
Fences, Furnishings, Benches, Lights & Signs	\$108,000
Irrigation Well	\$25,000
Owner Items	\$53,500
Construction Contingency 10%	\$53,500
Design, Engineering & Construction Admin.	\$133,000
1% For Art	\$6,000
Financing (If done through City SID or similar)	\$100,000
TOTAL PHASE II	\$880,000

TOTAL PROJECT COST ESTIMATE

TOTAL COST PER ACRE \$271,375

\$1,460,000

