

FMRP Oversight Team Meeting 01-10-2018

Members Present: David W., Donna G., John W., Chris L., and Dale B.

Members Absent: Andrew C., Pat O.

Others Present: Lisa M., Larry F., Garrick S., Neil M., and Ryan A.

Agenda:

- Inter-local Maintenance & Management Agreement
- Playground Equipment Procurement
- Topsoil Overburden Discussion

David W. called the meeting to order.

Interlocal Maintenance & Management Contract

Lisa M. reviewed current edits based on comments & questions from Oversight and legal review.

- Lisa M. confirmed with County Attorney that a breach clause is not necessary between two jurisdictions in a contract.
- The contract length remains at five (5) years with a termination clause added that requires a 12 month notice by either party.
- Language was added to the contract in the event of termination that, both parties would need to develop and agree to a separation plan for park facilities.
- Lisa confirmed with County Risk and Benefits that the County would be insuring the County owned parcel/facilities at the appropriate value.
- Definitions were added to the contract for:
 - Fort Missoula Regional Park Maintenance and Operations Special Fund
 - Fort Missoula Regional Park Program Services and Recreation Enterprise Fund

Dale B. suggested striking out both "Enterprise" and "Special" and replace with "segregated".

It is important that the Fort Missoula Regional Park Maintenance and Operations Segregated Funds have the ability to carry over to the next Fiscal Year.

Dale B. recommends that account names be updated to reflect the above changes now and not with the upcoming FY.

- What happens when one of the revenue generating facilities is “down”? If there is adequate documentation to demonstrate lost revenue, the Cities’ self-insured MMIA (Montana Municipal Interlocal Authority) would help cover lost revenue. MMIA also insures all recreation programs.
- If the City or County does not have financial resources to pay for maintenance, it would be addressed as a breach of the Interlocal management and maintenance contract.

Motion to approve Interlocal Management and Maintenance Contract with recommended edits, Dale B. moved; Chris L. seconded. Further discussion – none, motion approved.

Playground Equipment Procurement

Neil M. provided an update on playground equipment procurement and budget. \$485,000 is the available budget out of the “Owners Provided Budget” for playground equipment, install and surfacing.

The total for playground equipment is \$187,331.15 which includes all play equipment, exercise equipment and shade sails.

Remaining bids to be secured are installation cost and procurement of surfacing.

Motion to advance the Playground Procurement Contracts to the County Commissioners for signature, Chris L. moved; Donna G. seconded. Further discussion – none, motion approved.

Topsoil Overburden Discussion

There is an estimated 12,400 cubic yards of surplus excess overburden subgrade soil that was generated from phase II construction and grading. To complete phase II, the surplus soil needs to be removed or integrated back into the project. Using all surplus soil onsite at FMRP is likely to cost more than hauling a portion offsite.

City and County has identified both Big Sky Park Archery Range project and the City MRL Park project and FMRP Phase II as possible projects to receive a portion of the surplus soil that would best serve recreation services and facilities within City and County park and recreation facilities.

FMRP needs 3,500 cubic yards

MRL Park needs 3,500 cubic yards to supplement a soil deficiency

Big Sky Park could benefit to assist with construction of an earthen berm to replace the archery range that was removed as part of FMRP phase II construction. The amount needs to be verified.


What is best for FMRP to manage surplus soil?

- If Knife River hauls topsoil off site, City nor County would assume additional liability.
- If others haul topsoil off site, City and County assumes additional liability.
- Restoration of soil at an off-site facility would meet SITES and FMRP goals.
- Would replacing a lost facility meet SITES and FMRP goals?

Chris L. reminded Oversight about the public process to dispose of excess surplus resources.

Meeting adjourned, David W.

Approved by (David W.)

 on this day: 1/24/18
 (Signature) (Date)