

## CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

### City of Missoula CIP Project Request Form FY 2010-2014

<b>Program Category:</b>	<b>Project Title:</b>		<b>08 Project #</b>	<b>09 Project #</b>	<b>10 Project #</b>
Community Service	URD III Curb and Sidewalk - Franklin To Fort Area				CS-29

**Description and justification of project and funding sources:**

Lack of curb and sidewalks have been identified in the URD III Study and URD III Plan as a condition contributing to blight in the Urban Renewal District. This project will construct curb and sidewalk throughout the Franklin To Fort neighborhood west of Southgate Mall (see attached map of project area). Construction of sidewalk and curb in this neighborhood will facilitate the implementation of the URD III Plan, reduce blight in the District, and act as a catalyst for further private investment throughout the District. This project will provide funding to complete curb and gutter in the area of the URD III district that lies south of Sussex and west of the railroad tracks over the next 5 years. The projects will be prioritized in consultation and coordination with the public works department.

<b>Is this equipment prioritized on an equipment replacement schedule?</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>NA</b>
			x

**Are there any site requirements:**

No

**How is this project going to be funded:**

REVENUE	Funding Source	Accounting Code	FY10	FY11	FY12	FY13	FY14	Funded in Prior Years
	Tax Increment			200,000	200,000	200,000	200,000	200,000
			200,000	200,000	200,000	200,000	200,000	-

**How is this project going to be spent:**

EXPENSE	Budgeted Funds	Accounting Code	FY10	FY11	FY12	FY13	FY14	Spent in Prior Years
	A. Land Cost							
B. Construction Cost								
C. Contingencies (10% of B)								
D. Design & Engineering (15% of B)								
E. Percent for Art (1% of B)								
F. Equipment Costs								
G. Other								
			-	-	-	-	-	-

**Does this project have any additional impact on the operating budget:**

OPERATING BUDGET COSTS	Expense Object	Accounting Code	FY10	FY11	FY12	FY13	FY14	Spent in Prior Years
	Personnel							
Supplies								
Purchased Services								
Fixed Charges								
Capital Outlay								
Debt Service								
			-	-	-	-	-	-

Description of additional operating budget impact:


<b>Responsible Person:</b>	<b>Responsible Department:</b>	<b>Date Submitted to Finance</b>	<b>Today's Date and Time</b>	<b>Preparer's Initials</b>	<b>Total Score</b>
Ellen Buchanan	MRA		06/01/2009 13:12	dtg	42

# CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

## Project Rating


(See C.I.P. Instructions For Explanation of Criteria)

Program Category:	Project Title:				10 Project #	
Community Service	URD III Curb and Sidewalk - Franklin To Fort Area				CS-29	
Qualitative Analysis		Yes	No	Comments		
1. Is the project necessary to meet federal, state, or local legal requirements? This criterion includes projects mandated by Court Order to meet requirements of law or other requirements. Of special concern is that the project be accessible to the handicapped.		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
2. Is the project necessary to fulfill a contractual requirement? This criterion includes Federal or State grants which require local participation. Indicate the Grant name and number in the comment column.		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
3. Is this project urgently required? Will delay result in curtailment of an essential service? This statement should be checked "Yes" only if an emergency is clearly indicated; otherwise, answer "No". If "Yes", be sure to give full justification.		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
4. Does the project provide for and/or improve public health and/or public safety? This criterion should be answered "No" unless public health and/or safety can be shown to be an urgent or critical factor.		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Quantitative Analysis		Raw Score Range	Comments		Weight	Total Score
5. Does the project result in maximum benefit to the community from the investment dollar?		(0-3) 3	Because curbs and sidewalks are such a visible form of reinvestment in the District, the investment will tend to serve as a catalyst for further private investment in URD III. This project is 100% leveraged using tax increment funds.		5	15
6. Does the project require speedy implementation in order to assure its maximum effectiveness?		(0-3) 2	Timing is a factor in order to complete construction of the infrastructure prior to sun-set of the District and to allow time for private investment to occur within the URD.		4	8
7. Does the project conserve energy, cultural or natural resources, or reduce pollution?		(0-3) 1	The curb/sidewalk project may conserve energy as it provides for alternative transportation.		3	3
8. Does the project improve or expand upon essential City services where such services are recognized and accepted as being necessary and effective?		(0-2) 2	The project will connect essential missing segments in the City Sidewalk Network.		4	8
9. Does the project specifically relate to the City's strategic planning priorities or other plans?		(0-3) 2	The curb/sidewalk project provides for alternative transportation, completes essential missing infrastructure in the URD, facilitates implementation of the URD III Plan, and focuses on efforts for reinvestment in the URD to revitalize the District.		4	8
Total Score						42



**LEGEND**

- No Sidewalk
- No Sidewalk or Curb
- - - Primary Sidewalk Network
- - - Secondary Sidewalk Network



Missoula Redevelopment Agency  
 Date: May 5, 2009  
 Prepared By: Tod Gass

Area Map For:  
**URD III SIDEWALK INVENTORY**  
 City of Missoula Redevelopment Agency  
 140 West Pine Missoula, Montana 59802  
 Note: The information is for reference purposes only.